

5. The government has produced a several tranches of discovery, and is still in the process of producing significant voluminous discovery. Processing, organizing, reviewing, and analyzing

1 this discovery with Mr. Dillman still requires significant time to prepare for trial.

2 5. Because of this ongoing discovery production and voluminous discovery produced and as
3 yet to be produced, undersigned defense counsel still requires significant additional time to review
4 discovery as well as to consult with and advise Mr. Dillman .

5 6. Therefore, the parties have met and conferred and stipulate, with the Court's permission,
6 that the Court continue the September 9, 2024, status hearing to November 18, 2024.

7 7. The parties agree that this time is excludable from the Speedy Trial Act for effective
8 preparation of counsel under 18 U.S.C. 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of
9 justice served by excluding the time from September 9, 2024 through November 18, 2024 from
10 computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best interests of the public and defendant in
11 the prompt resolution of his case.

12
13 DATED: August 30, 2024

/s/ Richard A. Tamor
Richard A. Tamor, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
JAPHETH DILLMAN

14
15
16 DATED: August 30, 2024

/s/ Christiaan Highsmith
Christiaan Highsmith, AUSA
Attorney for Plaintiff
UNITED STATES

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

UPON STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, AND GOOD CAUSE APPEARING:

It is hereby ordered that Defendant Japheth Dillman's Status Conference be continued from September 9, 2024, to December 9, 2024, and that time will be excluded under 18 U.S.C. 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv) for effective preparation of counsel and that failure to grant an exclusion of time and a continuance of the matter would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the time from September 9, 2024, to December 9, 2024, from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best interests of the public and defendant in a speedy trial.

DATED: September 4, 2024



HON. JAMES DONATO
U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE